UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,791	02/10/2004	Mustafa Kesal	MS307072.1/MSFTP587US	9695
	7590 06/09/200 CY & CALVIN, LLP	EXAMINER		
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114			KHOSHNOODI, NADIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/775,791	KESAL ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NADIA KHOSHNOODI	2137				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>NADIA KHOSHNOODI</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>MR. DAVID FRANKLIN</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>04 June 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 15, and 20</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Ogino et al., US Pub. No. 2004/0003253.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Franklin proposed possible amendments to overcome the outstanding rejections. Examiner clarified the portions that were novel in claim 20 which was objected to as being allowable if rewritten in independent form. Examiner will review the claims and remarks when filed in a formal response.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
-	/Nadia Khoshnoodi/ Examiner, Art Unit 2137 Examiner's signature, if requi	red				